arXiv:1101.0910v2 [math.GM] 22 Aug 2012

On the Tensor Permutation Matrices

Christian Rakotonirina
e-mail : rakotopierre@refer.mg

August 23, 2012

Abstract

Purpose: The purpose of this paper is to generalize a property of the tensor permutation matrices (TPM's).
Methods: The propositions on linear operators are established in intrinsic way, that is independently of the bases, and after that we demonstrate the analogous propositions for the matrices.
Results: We show that two TPM's permutate tensor product of matrices. Some examples, in the particular case of tensor commutation matrices (TCM's), for studying some linear matrix equations are given.
Conclusions: We have generalized a property of TPM's. Two TPM's permutate tensor product of rectangle matrices. The examples show the utility of the property.
Keywords: Tensor product, Matrices, Swap operator, Matrix linear equations.

MCS 2010: 15A69

Background

When we were working on Raoelina Andriambololona idea on the using tensor product in Dirac equation [1], [2], we met the unitary matrix

$$[U_{2\otimes 2}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which has the following properties: for any unicolumns and two rows matrices $[\alpha] = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha^1 \\ \alpha^2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{2\times 1}(\mathbb{C})$, $[\beta] = \begin{bmatrix} \beta^1 \\ \beta^2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{2\times 1}(\mathbb{C})$

$$[U_{2\otimes 2}]\cdot(\ [\alpha]\otimes[\beta]\ ) = [\beta]\otimes[\alpha]$$

and for any two 2×2-matrices, $[A]$, $[B]$ $\in\mathcal{M}_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{C})$

$$[U_{2\otimes 2}]\cdot(\ [A]\otimes[B]\ ) = (\ [B]\otimes[A]\ )\cdot[U_{2\otimes 2}]$$

This matrix is frequently found in quantum information theory [3], [4], [5].

We call this matrix a tensor commutation matrix (TCM) $2\otimes 2$. The TCM $3 \otimes 3$ has been written by Kazuyuki Fujii [3] under the following form

$$[U_{3\otimes 3}] = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

in order to obtain a conjecture of the form of a TCM $n \otimes n$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^\star$. He calls these matrices ”‘swap operator”’.

$[U_{n\otimes p}]$, the TCM $n \otimes p$, n, $p \in \mathbb{N}^\star$, commutes the tensor product of $n \times n$- matrix by $p \times p$-matrix. In this paper we will show that two σ-TPM's $[U_\sigma]$, $[V_\sigma]$ permutate tensor product of rectangle matrices, that is, $[U_\sigma] \cdot ([A_1] \otimes [A_2] \otimes \ldots \otimes [A_k]) \cdot [V_\sigma]^T = [A_{\sigma(1)}] \otimes [A_{\sigma(2)}] \otimes \ldots \otimes [A_{\sigma(k)}]$, where σ is a permutation of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$.
$[U_\sigma] = [V_\sigma]$, if $[A_1]$, $[A_2]$, $\ldots$, $[A_k]$ are square matrices (Cf. for example [6]). We will show this property, according to the Raoelina Andriambololona approach in linear and multilinear algebra [7]: in establishing at first, the propositions on linear operators in intrinsic way, that is independently of the bases, and then we demonstrate the analogous propositions for the matrices.

Tensor Product of Matrices

Definition 1. Consider $[A] = (A^i_j) \in \mathcal{M}_{m\times n}(\mathbb{C})$, $[B] = (B^i_j) \in \mathcal{M}_{p\times r}(\mathbb{C})$. The matrix defined by

$$[A] \otimes [B] = \begin{bmatrix} A^1_1[B] & \dots & A^1_j[B] & \dots & A^1_n[B] \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ A^i_1[B] & \dots & A^i_j[B] & \dots & A^i_n[B] \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ A^m_1[B] & \dots & A^m_j[B] & \dots & A^m_n[B] \end{bmatrix}$$

obtained after the multiplications by scalar, $A^i_j[B]$, is called the tensor product of the matrix $[A]$ by the matrix $[B]$.

$$[A] \otimes [B] \in \mathcal{M}_{mp\times nr}(\mathbb{C})$$

Proposition 2. *Tensor product of matrices is associative.*

Proposition 3. *Consider the linear operators $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$, $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$. $[A]$ is the matrix of A with respect to the couple of bases $\left((\overline{e_i})_{1\ \leq i\ \leq n}, (\overline{f_j})_{1\ \leq j\ \leq m}\right)$, $[B]$ the one of B in $\left((\overline{g_k})_{1\ \leq k\ \leq r}, (\overline{h_l})_{1\ \leq l\ \leq p}\right)$. Then, $[A]\otimes$ $[B]$ is the matrix of $A\otimes B$ with respect to the couple of bases ($\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{B}_1$), where $\mathcal{B} = (\overline{e_1} \otimes \overline{g_1}, \overline{e_1} \otimes \overline{g_2}, \dots, \overline{e_1} \otimes \overline{g_r}, \overline{e_2} \otimes \overline{g_1}, \overline{e_2} \otimes \overline{g_2}, \dots, \overline{e_2} \otimes \overline{g_r}, \dots, \overline{e_n} \otimes \overline{g_1}, \overline{e_n} \otimes \overline{g_2}, \dots, \overline{e_n} \otimes \overline{g_r})$*

Notation: we denote the set $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}_1$ by
$\mathcal{B}\ =\ (\overline{e_i} \otimes \overline{g_k})_{1\ \leq i\ \leq n, 1\ \leq k\ \leq r} = ((\overline{e_i})_{1\ \leq i\ \leq n}) \otimes ((\overline{g_k})_{1\ \leq k\ \leq r})$
$\mathcal{B}_1\ =\ \left(\overline{f_j} \otimes \overline{h_l}\right)_{1\ \leq j\ \leq m, 1\ \leq l\ \leq p} = \left((\overline{f_j})_{1\ \leq j\ \leq m}\right) \otimes \left((\overline{h_l})_{1\ \leq l\ \leq p}\right)$

Tensor permutation operators

Definition 4. Consider the $\mathbb{C}$- vector spaces $\mathcal{E}_1$, $\mathcal{E}_2$, $\dots$, $\mathcal{E}_k$ and a permutation σ of $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. The linear operator U_σ from $\mathcal{E}_1\otimes$ $\mathcal{E}_2\otimes\dots\otimes$ $\mathcal{E}_k$ to $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma(1)}\otimes$ $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma(2)}\otimes\dots\otimes$ $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma(k)}$, $U_\sigma\in$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_1\otimes$ $\mathcal{E}_2\otimes\dots\otimes$ $\mathcal{E}_k$, $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma(1)}\otimes$ $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma(2)}\otimes\dots\otimes$ $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma(k)}$), defined by

$$U_\sigma(\overline{x_1} \otimes \overline{x_2}\ \otimes \dots \otimes \overline{x_k}) =\ \overline{x_{\sigma(1)}} \otimes \overline{x_{\sigma(2)}} \otimes \dots \otimes \overline{x_{\sigma(k)}}$$

for all $\overline{x_1} \in \mathcal{E}_1, \overline{x_2} \in \mathcal{E}_2, \ldots, \overline{x_k} \in \mathcal{E}_k$ is Called a σ-tensor permutation operator (TPO).
If $n = 2$, then say that U_σ is a tensor commutation operator.

Proposition 5. *Consider the $\mathbb{C}$- vector spaces $\mathcal{E}_1$, $\mathcal{E}_2$, $\ldots$, $\mathcal{E}_k$, $\mathcal{F}_1$, $\mathcal{F}_2$, $\ldots$, $\mathcal{F}_k$, a permutation σ of $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ and a σ-TPO*
$U_\sigma \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{F}_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\sigma(k)}\right)$. Then, for all $\phi_1 \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{F}_1\right)$, $\phi_2 \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{F}_2\right)$, $\ldots$, $\phi_k \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{E}_k, \mathcal{F}_k\right)$

$$U_\sigma \cdot \left(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_k\right) = \left(\phi_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \phi_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_{\sigma(k)}\right) \cdot V_\sigma$$

where $V_\sigma \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{E}_k, \mathcal{E}_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\sigma(k)})$ is a σ-TPO.

Proof. $\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_k \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{E}_k, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{F}_k,\right)$, thus
$U_\sigma \cdot \left(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_k\right) \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{E}_k, \mathcal{F}_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\sigma(k)}\right)$.
$\left(\phi_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \phi_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_{\sigma(k)}\right) \cdot V_\sigma \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{E}_k, \mathcal{F}_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\sigma(k)}\right)$
If $\overline{x_1} \in \mathcal{E}_1$, then $\overline{x_2} \in \mathcal{E}_2$, $\ldots$, $\overline{x_k} \in \mathcal{E}_k$,
$U_\sigma \cdot \left(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_k\right)\left(\overline{x_1} \otimes \overline{x_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes \overline{x_k}\right) = U_\sigma\left[\phi_1\left(\overline{x_1}\right) \otimes \phi_2\left(\overline{x_2}\right) \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_k\left(\overline{x_k}\right)\right]$
$= \phi_{\sigma(1)}\left(\overline{x_{\sigma(1)}}\right) \otimes \phi_{\sigma(2)}\left(\overline{x_{\sigma(2)}}\right) \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_{\sigma(k)}\left(\overline{x_{\sigma(k)}}\right)$
(Since U_σ is a TPO)
$= \left(\phi_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \phi_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_{\sigma(k)}\right)\left(\overline{x_{\sigma(1)}} \otimes \overline{x_{\sigma(2)}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \overline{x_{\sigma(k)}}\right)$
$= \left(\phi_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \phi_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_{\sigma(k)}\right) \cdot V_\sigma\left(\overline{x_1} \otimes \overline{x_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes \overline{x_k}\right)$. ☐

Proposition 6. *If U_σ is a σ-TPO, then its transpose ${U_\sigma}^t$ is the σ^{-1}-TPO $U_{\sigma^{-1}}$.(Cf. for example [6])*

Tensor permutation matrices

Definition 7. Consider the $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces $\mathcal{E}_1$, $\mathcal{E}_2$, $\ldots$, $\mathcal{E}_k$ of dimensions n_1, n_2, $\ldots$, n_k and σ-TPO $U_\sigma \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{E}_k, \mathcal{E}_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\sigma(k)})$. Let
$\mathcal{B}_1 = (\overline{e_{11}}, \overline{e_{12}}, \ldots, \overline{e_{1n_1}})$ be a basis of $\mathcal{E}_1$;
$\mathcal{B}_2 = (\overline{e_{21}}, \overline{e_{22}}, \ldots, \overline{e_{2n_2}})$ be a basis of $\mathcal{E}_2$;
$\ldots$
$\mathcal{B}_k = (\overline{e_{k1}}, \overline{e_{k2}}, \ldots, \overline{e_{kn_k}})$ be a basis of $\mathcal{E}_k$.
$[U_\sigma]$ the matrix of U_σ with respect to the couple of bases
$\left(\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{B}_k, \mathcal{B}_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\sigma(k)}\right)$. The square matrix $[U_\sigma]$ of dimensions $n_1 \times n_2 \times \ldots \times n_k$ is independent of the bases $\mathcal{B}_1$, $\mathcal{B}_2$,$\ldots$, $\mathcal{B}_k$. Call this matrix a σ-TPM $n_1 \otimes n_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes n_k$.

According to the proposition 6, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 8. *A σ-TPM $[U_\sigma]$ is an orthogonal matrix, that is $[U_\sigma]^{-1} = [U_\sigma]^T$.*

Proposition 9. *Let $[U_\sigma]$ be σ-TPM $n_1 \otimes n_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes n_k$ and $[V_\sigma]$ a σ-TPM $m_1 \otimes m_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes m_k$. Then, for all matrices $[A_1]$, $[A_2]$,..., $[A_k]$, of dimensions, respectively, $m_1 \times n_1$, $m_2 \times n_2$, ..., $m_k \times n_k$*

$$[U_\sigma] \cdot ([A_1] \otimes [A_2] \otimes \ldots \otimes [A_k]) \cdot [V_\sigma]^T = [A_{\sigma(1)}] \otimes [A_{\sigma(2)}] \otimes \ldots \otimes [A_{\sigma(k)}]$$

Proof. Let $A_1 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$, $A_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$, ..., $A_k \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_k, \mathcal{F}_k)$. Their matrices with respect to couple of bases $(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_1^{'})$, $(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{B}_2^{'})$,..., $(\mathcal{B}_k, \mathcal{B}_k^{'})$ are respectively $[A_1]$, $[A_2]$,..., $[A_k]$. Then, $[A_1] \otimes [A_2] \otimes \ldots \otimes [A_k]$ is the matrix of $A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes A_k$ with respect to $(\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{B}_k, \mathcal{B}'_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}'_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{B}'_k)$.
But, $A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes A_k \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{E}_k, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{F}_k)$ and $A_{\sigma(1)} \otimes A_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes A_{\sigma(k)} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\sigma(k)}, \mathcal{F}_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\sigma(k)}\right)$ thus
$U_\sigma \cdot (A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes A_k)$, $\left(A_{\sigma(1)} \otimes A_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes A_{\sigma(k)}\right) \cdot V_\sigma$
$\in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{E}_k, \mathcal{F}_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\sigma(k)}\right)$.
$[A_{\sigma(1)}] \otimes [A_{\sigma(2)}] \otimes \ldots \otimes [A_{\sigma(k)}]$ is the matrix of $A_{\sigma(1)} \otimes A_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes A_{\sigma(k)}$ with respect to $\left(\mathcal{B}_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\sigma(k)}, \mathcal{B}'_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \mathcal{B}'_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{B}'_{\sigma(k)}\right)$, thus $([A_{\sigma(1)}] \otimes [A_{\sigma(2)}] \otimes \ldots \otimes [A_{\sigma(k)}]) \cdot [V_\sigma]$ is the one of $(A_{\sigma(1)} \otimes A_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes A_{\sigma(k)}) \cdot V_\sigma$ with respect to $\left(\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{B}_k, \mathcal{B}'_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \mathcal{B}'_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{B}'_{\sigma(k)}\right)$.
$[U_\sigma] \cdot ([A_1] \otimes [A_2] \otimes \ldots \otimes [A_k])$ is the matrix of $U_\sigma \cdot (A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes A_k)$ with respect to the same couple of bases.
According to the proposition 5, we have

$$[U_\sigma] \cdot ([A_1] \otimes [A_2] \otimes \ldots \otimes [A_k]) = \left([A_{\sigma(1)}] \otimes [A_{\sigma(2)}] \otimes \ldots \otimes [A_{\sigma(k)}]\right) \cdot [V_\sigma]$$

□

Proposition 10. *The matrix $[U_\sigma]$ is a σ-TPM $n_1 \otimes n_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes n_k$ if, and only if, for all $[\alpha_1] \in \mathcal{M}_{n_1 \times 1}(\mathbb{C})$, $[\alpha_2] \in \mathcal{M}_{n_2 \times 1}(\mathbb{C})$,..., $[\alpha_k] \in \mathcal{M}_{n_k \times 1}(\mathbb{C})$*

$$[U_\sigma] \cdot ([\alpha_1] \otimes [\alpha_2] \otimes \ldots \otimes [\alpha_k]) = [\alpha_{\sigma(1)}] \otimes [\alpha_{\sigma(2)}] \otimes \ldots \otimes [\alpha_{\sigma(k)}]$$

Proof. " $\Longrightarrow$ " It is evident from the proposition 9.
" $\Longleftarrow$ " Suppose that for all $[\alpha_1] \in \mathcal{M}_{n_1 \times 1}(\mathbb{C})$, $[\alpha_2] \in \mathcal{M}_{n_2 \times 1}(\mathbb{C})$,..., $[\alpha_k] \in \mathcal{M}_{n_k \times 1}(\mathbb{C})$

$$[U_\sigma] \cdot ([\alpha_1] \otimes [\alpha_2] \otimes \ldots \otimes [\alpha_k]) = [\alpha_{\sigma(1)}] \otimes [\alpha_{\sigma(2)}] \otimes \ldots \otimes [\alpha_{\sigma(k)}]$$

Let $\overline{\alpha_1} \in \mathcal{E}_1$, $\overline{\alpha_2} \in \mathcal{E}_2$, $\ldots$, $\overline{\alpha_k} \in \mathcal{E}_k$ and $\mathcal{B}_1$, $\mathcal{B}_2$,…, $\mathcal{B}_k$ be some bases of $\mathcal{E}_1$, $\mathcal{E}_2$, $\ldots$, $\mathcal{E}_k$ where the components of $\overline{\alpha_1}$, $\overline{\alpha_2}$,…, $\overline{\alpha_k}$ form the unicolumn matrices $[\alpha_1]$, $[\alpha_2]$,…, $[\alpha_k]$. The σ-TPO $U_\sigma \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{E}_k, \mathcal{E}_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\sigma(k)})$ whose matrix with respect to $(\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{B}_k, \mathcal{B}_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\sigma(k)})$ is $[U_\sigma]$. Thus

$$U_\sigma(\overline{\alpha_1} \otimes \overline{\alpha_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes \overline{\alpha_k}) = \overline{\alpha_{\sigma(1)}} \otimes \overline{\alpha_{\sigma(2)}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \overline{\alpha_{\sigma(k)}}$$

This is true for all $\overline{\alpha_1} \in \mathcal{E}_1$, $\overline{\alpha_2} \in \mathcal{E}_2$, $\ldots$, $\overline{\alpha_k} \in \mathcal{E}_k$.
Since U_σ is a σ-TPO, $[U_\sigma]$ is a σ-TPM $n_1 \otimes n_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes n_k$. ☐

The application of this proposition to any two unicolumn matrices leads us to the following remark.

Remark 11. Consider the function L from the set of all matrices into the set of all unicolumn matrices. For a $n \times p$-matrix $[X] = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \ldots & x_{1p} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & \ldots & x_{2p} \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \ldots & x_{np} \end{bmatrix}$,

$L([X]) = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} \\ x_{12} \\ \vdots \\ x_{1p} \\ x_{21} \\ x_{22} \\ \vdots \\ x_{2p} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n1} \\ x_{n2} \\ \vdots \\ x_{np} \end{bmatrix}$. The relation $[U_{n \otimes p}] \cdot L([X]) = L\left([X]^T\right)$ can be obtained easily.

Example 12. Let $[A]$, $[B]$, and $[C]$, respectively $m \times n$, $p \times q$ and $m \times q$-matrices. Consider the matrix equation $[A] \cdot [X] \cdot [B] = [C]$, with respest to the $n \times q$-matrix $[X]$. This equation can be transformed to the system of linear equations, whose matrix equation is [8]

$$\left([A] \otimes [B]^T\right) \cdot L\left([X]\right) = L\left([C]\right) \tag{1}$$

or equivalently

$$\left([B]^T \otimes [A]\right) \cdot L\left([X]^T\right) = L\left([C]^T\right) \tag{2}$$

The equation (2) can be obtained by multiplying the equation (1) by the $m \otimes q$ TCM $[U_{m\otimes q}]$ and in using the remark 11.
Mutually, the equation (1) can be obtained by multiplying the equation (2) by the $q \otimes m$ TCM $[U_{q\otimes m}]$.

Example 13. The matrix equation $[A] \cdot [X] + [X] \cdot [B] = [C]$, where $[A]$ is $m\times m$-matrix, $[B]$ is $n\times n$-matrix and $[C]$ is $m\times n$-matrix, can be transformed to the system of linear equations, whose matrix equation is [8]

$$\left([A] \otimes [I_n] + [I_m] \otimes [B]^T\right) \cdot L\left([X]\right) = L\left([C]\right) \tag{3}$$

where $[I_n]$ the $n \times n$-unit matrix, or equivalently

$$\left([I_n] \otimes [A] + [B]^T \otimes [I_m]\right) \cdot L\left([X]^T\right) = L\left([C]^T\right) \tag{4}$$

The equation (4) can be obtained by multiplying the equation (3) by the $m \otimes n$ TCM $[U_{m\otimes n}]$.
Mutually, the equation (3) can be obtained by multiplying the equation (4) by the $n \otimes m$ TCM $[U_{n\otimes m}]$.

Conclusion

We have generalized a property of TPM's. Two TPM's permutate tensor product of rectangle matrices. The examples show the utility of the property. In each example, it suffices to transform a matrix linear equation to a matrix linear equation of the form $AX = B$. Another matrix linear equation of this form can be deduced by using a TCM.

Competing interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Andriamifidisoa Ramamonjy of the Department of Mathematics and Informatics of the University of Antananarivo for his help in preparing the manuscript.

Author details

Département d'Ingénerie Civil, Institut Supérieur de Technologie d'Antananarivo, IST-T, BP 8122, Madagascar.
Laboratoire de Rhéologie des Suspensions, Université d'Antananarivo, Madagascar.

References

[1] Rakotonirina, C: Produit Tensoriel de Matrices en Théorie de Dirac. Dissertation, University of Antananarivo (2003)

[2] Wang, RP: Varieties of Dirac Equation and Flavors of Leptons and Quarks. http://arXiv.org/pdf/hep-ph/0107184v2 (2001). Accessed 29 Jul 2001

[3] Fujii, K: Introduction to Coherent States and Quantum Information Theory. http://arXiv.org/pdf/quant-ph/0112090v2 (2002). Accessed 29 Jan 2002.Prepared for 10th Numazu Meeting on Integral System, Noncommutative Geometry and Quantum theory, Numazu, Shizuoka, Japan, 7-9 Mai 2002.

[4] Faddev, LD: Algebraic Aspects of the Bethe Ansatz. Int.J.Mod.Phys.A. **10**, 1845-1878 (1995)

[5] Verstraete, F: A Study of Entanglement in Quantum Information Theory. Dissertation, Catholic University of Leuven (2002)

[6] Rakotonirina, C: Tensor Permutation Matrices in Finite Dimensions. http://arXiv.org/pdf/0508053v2 (2005). Accessed 28 Oct 2005

[7] Raoelina Andriambololona: Algèbre linéaire et Multilinéaire. Applications. tome 1, Collection LIRA, Antananarivo (1986)

[8] Ikramov, H (ed.): Recueil de Problèmes d'Algèbre linéaire. Mir, Moscou (1977)